| | $4 \mid$ |
|-------------|-------------|
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| c /: | 10 |
| | %11 ≿11 |
| | 12 × 13 |
| | <u>=</u> 13 |
| _ | 14 |
| | 15 |
| | 16 |
| | 17 |
| | 18 |

19

20

21

22

23

NV Bar # 12565

1

2

ADAM C. EDWARDS, ESQ.

NV Bar # 15405

THE BIG GUNS INJURY ATTORNEYS

4045 Spencer Street Suite A52

Las Vegas, NV 89119

Telephone: (702) 500-GUNS

Facsimile: (702) 628-7095

service@thebigguns.law

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rosalina Gutierrez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROSALINA GUITERREZ, an individual;

2:24-cv-01075-JAD-EJY

Plaintiff,

v.

OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY, a foreign corporation; DOES I through X; ROE ENTITIES I through X, inclusive, jointly and severally

Defendants

TO EXTEND DISCOVERY
(THIRD REQUEST)

Plaintiff Rosalina Gutierrez and Defendant Otis Elevator Company by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree to continue the discovery dates in this matter and request that the court enter a new Discovery Scheduling Order containing said agreed-upon dates.

A. STATEMENT SPECIFYING THE DISCOVERY COMPLETED:

The following discovery has been completed by the parties:

1. Plaintiff's initial disclosures

| | 2 | |
|----------|----------|---|
| | 3 | |
| | 4 | |
| | 5 | |
| | 6 | |
| | 7 | I |
| | 8 | 7 |
| | 9 | |
| | 10 | |
| ORNEYS | 11 12 | |
| JURY ATT | 12 | |
| z | 13 | |
| | 14 | |
| | 15 | |
| | 16 | |
| | 17 | (|
| | 18 | (|
| | 19 | (|
| | 20 | |
| | 21 | V |

1

| Defendant' | | |
|------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

- 3. Defendant's Requests for production of Documents
- 4. Defendant's Interrogatories
- 5. Plaintiff's interrogatories to defendant
- 6. Plaintiff's requests for production of documents to defendant
- 7. Plaintiff's first set of requests for admission to defendant

B. A SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF THE DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS

TO BE COMPLETED:

- 1. Deposition of Plaintiff
- 2. IME of Plaintiff
- 3. Deposition of Defendant
- 4. Depositions of treating providers of the plaintiff
- 5. Site inspection
- 6. Initial Expert disclosures
- 7. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures
- 8. Depositions of Experts

C. THE REASONS WHY THE DISCOVERY REMAINING WAS NOT

COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS SET BY THE DISCOVERY

ORDER:

The parties have engaged in preliminary discovery but have had difficulties with scheduling as it relates to certain depositions. Moreover, the plaintiff is continuing the pursuit of her workers compensation claim, which is making it

22

2 and fu
3 the wo
4 treatm
5 figure
6 comple

difficult to determine the final determinations as to disability, the scope of ongoing and future treatment, as well as any potential final lien amount that may be due the worker's compensation carrier in the future. Combined with ongoing treatment, this is creating a moving target for both the plaintiff and defense to figure out while time remaining for discovery continues to pass.

The parties believe an extra sixty days will allow for sufficient time to complete discovery, if not get the parties to a point where pre-trial resolution might occur.

D. A PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING DISCOVERY:

| 2 | 10 | l |
|---|--|---|
| | » 11 | |
| 2 | NAUCRY ATTORNAL TO SELECTION TO | |
| 분 | 13 | |
| | | |

DeadlineOld Cut-offNew Cut-offAmend Pleadings or Add Parties:June 1, 2025August 1, 2025Initial Expert Disclosures:June 1, 2025August 1, 2025Rebuttal Expert Disclosure:July 1, 2025August 31, 2025Close of Discovery:July 31, 2025September 30,

Dispositive Motion Deadline: August 30, 2025 October 30,

| 1 | F. SAID REQUEST IS NOT BI | EING MADE FOR PURPOSES OF |
|--|--|--|
| $2 \mid$ | UNDULY DELAYING DISCOVER | RY OR THE TRIAL OF THIS MATTER. |
| 3 | SO AGREED. | |
| 4 | DATED May 12, 2025 | DATED May 12, 2025 |
| 5 | THE BIG GUNS INJURY ATTORNEYS | TUCKER ELLIS LLP |
| 6 | | |
| _ | /s/Benjamin J. Carman | /s/Su-Lyn Combs |
| $7 \mid$ | BENJAMIN J. CARMAN, ESQ. ADAM C. EDWARDS, ESQ. | VASUDHSIRI T. SATHIENMARS, ESQ. SU-LYN COMBS, ESQ. |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | Attorneys for Defendant |
| 9 | Rosalina Gutierrez | Otis Elevator Company |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | wever, no further extensions will be granted |
| 11 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × | absent completion of substantially a | ii remaining discovery. |
| 12 | | Clayna J. Zouchah |
| 13 | | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | DATED: May 12, 2025 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |

23